

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|--|--|
| 10/618,768 | 10/618,768 07/15/2003 | | Lars Jahn | Q75545 | 6025 | | |
| 23373 | 7590 | 11/02/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| SUGHRUE | , | PLLC A AVENUE, N.W. | ALLEN, ANDRE J | | | | |
| SUITE 800 | 5-1 L5 V / 11 V I | IT IT V EIVOE, IV. W. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| WASHINGT | ON, DC | 20037 | 2855 | 2855 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Cumpment | | 10/618,768 | | JAHN ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| · | | Andre J. Alle | | 2855 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the c | over sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | ddress | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the replayer is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to replay within the set or extended period for replay will, by statuted the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, bly within the statutor will apply and will execuse the application. | however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days opire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on amn | ndts filed 8-5-0 | <u>4</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | s action is non | -final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4 | Interview Summary | • | | | | |
| 3) Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 5) 5 6 | Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa) Other: | | O-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/618,768

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed 7-15-04 are acceptable for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Sterin et al, Schaefer and further in view of Knestal.

Regarding claim 1 Suzuki teaches four rollers(col.6 lines 60-68), however Suzukui does not teach each roller having an irregular surface cover, four

Application/Control Number: 10/618,768

Art Unit: 2855

asynchronous motors and inverters. Sterin et al teaches a roller assembly comprising an irregular surface (fig. 16a), Schafer teaches four motors 12 that operate with a roller assembly (fig. 1) that rotates each respective one of the rollers and Knestal discloses a teaching that would suggest using asynchronous motors (col. 4 lines 60-68).

Page 3

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of roller assemblies to modify the roller assembly taught by Suzuki with a motor to directly be coupled to operate the roller assembly as taught by Schaffer, a roller assembly having rollers with an irregular surface as taught by Sterin et al, also four motors as taught by Schafer for the purpose of simulating the environment of a tire also, actuating a roller assembly to rotate along a particular axis and controllably operate the speed of each roller.

With respect to asynchronous motor and inverters, Suzuki in view of Sterin et al and Schaefer discloses at least one type of motor to actuate a rotation with respect to the rollers that is controlled but does not disclose asynchronous motors. Knestal clearly suggest that an asynchronous motor could be used but generally not prefered (col. 4 lines 60-68). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to choose the most optimum and efficient motor and controller that is readily available to the public after undo-experimentation. Furthermore, lacking any criticality it would appear that the controlled motor assembly disclosed in Suzuki as modified by, Sterin and Schaefer further in view of Knestal would perform equally as well.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/618,768

Art Unit: 2855

3.

3. Claims 1-16 and 18-22 stand allowed for the reasons set forth in the prior action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2855

Andre Allen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2855

SUPERIORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800